United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
٧.	

	Jo	rge Ro	driguez-Ortiz	Case Number:	16-01099MJ-001				
In acc	ordance are estab	with the	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	2(f), a detention hearing has been	n held. I conclude that the following				
		lear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ding trial in this case.							
		reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant ng trial in this case.							
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT					
	(1)		.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defenda		ral offense)(state or local offense that jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).					
			an offense for which the maximu	um sentence is life imprisonment	or death.				
			an offense for which a maximum	n term of imprisonment of ten yea	irs or more is prescribed in				
			a felony that was committed after offenses described in 18 U.S.C.	er the defendant had been convic § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparab	ted of two or more prior federal ple state or local offenses.				
			any felony that involves a minor device (as those terms are defin to register under 18 U.S.C. §225	ed in section 921), or any other d	ssion or use of a firearm or destructive langerous weapon, or involves a failure				
	(2)	18 U.S release	.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense of epending trial for a federal, state of	described in finding 1 was commi or local offense.	tted while the defendant was on				
	(3)	18 U.S convict	.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of no ion)(release of the defendant from	ot more than five years has elaps n imprisonment) for the offense d	ed since the (date of escribed in finding 1.				
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant is not rebutted this presumption.							
			Alt	ernative Findings					
	(1)	18 U.S	.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	cause to believe that the defend	ant has committed an offense				
			for which a maximum term of im	prisonment of ten years or more	is prescribed in1				
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.					
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of impris	onment of 20 years or more is				
			an offense involving a minor vict	im under section	8				
	(2)	The de			that no condition or combination of				

⁷Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

⁸Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. $\S\S1201$, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

Case 2:16-cr-00290-SMM Document 4 Filed 02/17/16 Page 2 of 3

conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

141	Alternative Findings			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
(4)				
(1)	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information ⁹ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing			
	evidence as to danger that:			
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
GW .				
W/	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally. If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court. The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The defendant lives and works in Mexico. The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			

 $^{^9}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

•	Case 2:16-cr-00290-SMM	Document 4 Fil	ed 02/17/16 Page 3 of 3	
In addition:				
	corporates by reference the findir earing in this matter.	ngs of the Pretrial Serv	ices Agency which were reviewed by the Court a	at th
	PART III DIRI	ECTIONS REGARDIN	G DETENTION	
corrections facility ling appeal. The r of a court of the	y separate, to the extent practica defendant shall be afforded a re United States or on request of a	able, from persons awa easonable opportunity f an attorney for the Gov	or his/her designated representative for confinent hiting or serving sentences or being held in custor for private consultation with defense counsel. Or ternment, the person in charge of the corrections se of an appearance in connection with a court	dy 1
	PART IV APP	EALS AND THIRD PA	RTY RELEASE	
liver a copy of th ct Court. Pursua the date of servi	ne motion for review/reconsiderat ant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.F ice of a copy of this order or after strict court. Failure to timely file of	tion to Pretrial Services C., effective December r the oral order is state	ed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsite at least one day prior to the hearing set before to 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) day don the record within which to file specific writte ce with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review.	the /s :n
ial Services suffi		before the District Cou	considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify urt to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to	
E: <u>February 12</u>	, 2016	<u> </u>	JAMES F. METCALF	- 1
			United States Magistrate Judge	